California							
FACILITY_ID	FACILITY_NAME	UNIT_NAME	UNITs	UNIT_DETAIL_SEQ	legal status	operating status	EFFECTIVE_DATE
CAD981393085	FORD MOTOR CO	OBOD1	2	1	IT	CC	19950619

## Clean Closed (CC) Facilities' questions:

- Did these sites complete clean closure or are they still in the process of seeking to clean close?
   Clean Closure of the permitted units is complete. DTSC accepted Closure
   Certification for Explosive Ordinance Disposal Range5 on 6/19/1995.
- Did the state officially certify/approve the unit(s) Clean Closed (CC)?Yes
- 3. What was the volume of waste disposed, frequency (e.g., daily, weekly, monthly, periodically), and years of operation? Explosive waste material was burned on concave steel trays on the concrete burn pads. Based on a former employee interview, burning was conducted primarily on the North Burn Pad where approximately 3,000 pounds of waste was burned per month. Approximately 350 pounds of waste per month was burned on the South Burn Pad. The permitted hazardous waste capacity was burning of 700 pounds per day of explosive waste.
- 4. Was it OB or OD or both? Both
- 5. What sampling procedures were used to identify the extent of the contamination, including kick-out and fallout (e.g., geophysical techniques used to identify buried munitions and fragments; trenching; grid, spokes, meandering way, visual, or random sampling of soil/for kick-out; depth; until no more found; and ground water monitoring)?
  Soil samples were analyzed for VOCs(EPA 8240), SVOCs (EPA 8270), Title 22 metals for STLS (EPA 6010/7000), As (EPA 7061), Pb(EPA 7420), TPH
- 6. Were components of the unit removed (e.g., any platforms, pans, pads, and liners)? Yes
- 7. What clean-up procedures and techniques were used to clean up the contaminants (e.g., excavation, soil sifting)?
  Excavation and offsite disposal.
- 8. What data was recorded and metrics used to evaluate the extent and levels of contamination?
- 9. What criteria was used to certify clean closure (e.g., EPA action levels)? Concentration levels of constituents of concern were below the current standards established by either background mean plus two standard deviations or by health

based levels established by the DTSC approved site specific risk assessment, dated March, 1995, which was prepared for unrestricted land use.

10. What was the total cost to achieve Clean Closed (CC) status? No information available prior to 1997.